



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20230  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09 423,622	02 08 2000	HANS WERNER MULLER	P64029USO	6964

136 7590 04 24 2002

JACOBSON HOLMAN PLLC  
400 SEVENTH STREET N.W.  
SUITE 600  
WASHINGTON, DC 20004

EXAMINER

BUNNER, BRIDGET E

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1647

DATE MAILED: 04 24 2002

16

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

☐ THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE

- a) ☐ is extended to run \_\_\_\_\_ or continues to run \_\_\_\_\_ from the date of the final rejection
- b) ☐ expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection

Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.117 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above

- ☒ Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a)
- ☒ Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 4/17/02 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance

- 1 ☒ The proposed amendments to the claim and/or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
- a) ☐ There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented
- b) ☒ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note)
- c) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
- d) ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal
- e) ☒ They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims

NOTE \_\_\_\_\_

- 2 ☐ Newly proposed or amended claims \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims
- 3 ☒ Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment ☐ will be entered ☐ will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows

Claims allowed NONE

Claims objected to NONE

Claims rejected 13-21

However,

- ☐ Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s) \_\_\_\_\_
- 4 ☒ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because THE CLAIMS HAVE NOT BEEN LIMITED TO THE ENABLED SUBJECT MATTER FOR EXCLUDING AXONAL REGENERATION BY ADMINISTERING A DORSAL MEMBRANE
- 5 ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficient reasons why it was not earlier presented NOR HAVE THE OLD REJECTIONS BEEN OVERCOME

- ☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner
- ☐ Other \_\_\_\_\_

*Gary L. Kunz*  
GARY L. KUNZ  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600